Mr. Jethmal's Unholy Campaign Against

Dr. Daudpota

propaganda, which has bad the unique feature of being rature he corrected, not realizing that small causes oftenrature one-sided. Mr. Jethmal Parsram, known to be a Sufi is not entirely unconnected with the propaganda. What is surprising that no one has tried to portray the other side of bakri (goat) was printed as bakiryi with the zer under the the picture, perhaps in the mistaken belief that the subject- ye. Corrections were necessarily made. matter of the propaganda would not be believed by reasonable men. The reason why this has not happened is that mischief-makers, ever keen to seize an opportunity, have turned the occasion to their benefit by adding a communal colour to it. Perhaps the subject—the question of the modifica-tions introduced by the Director of Public Instruction, Dr. Daudpota—is particularly amenable to such colouring; the result is that Dr. Daudpota has become undeservedly the dition of the Readers was indistinct and unshapely and Dr. victim of much criticism. The

2 I learn that Mr. Jethmal has lately addressed a this letter to the President of the Advisory Board for the Control of Sindhi Literature, of which he is a leading member. The isc. letter should have in the ordinary course of things been discussed by this Board on the 22nd. instant. In view of this fact, Mr. Jethmal's overhasty action in releasing it to the ress before the discussion certainly excites some surprise. The letter is not warranted on facts: that is a feature of the whole propaganda—this much good in it, then, that credulity itself would use a pinch of salt before swallowing it in toto. It is interesting to notice at this juncture that the question of alrabs (diacritical marks) was not moved in consequence of Dr. Daudpota's, but because of an allegation—entirely unfounded—that the Dictionary Committee had adopted these changes in the Sindhi Departmental Readers. At a meeting of the Board when the question came under discussion, Mr. Jethmal insisted upon the appointment of a sub-committee of ten experts—six being members of the Board and four being co-opted. He even went so far as to suggest Mr. I.I. Kazi's name for the chairmanship—Mr. Jethmal's personal friend, whose impartiality and anti-communalism none would like to deny. He also asked the Board to nominate Messrs. D. V. Mirchandani and Dharmvir Jetler as two of the co-opted. members. It is always difficult the ley as two of the co-opted. members. It is always difficult the to understand the mind of a man, but the fact remains that ubample time would be available to give a further fillip to the propaganda—in popular nomenclature to "enlighten" the public. Subsequently, Mr. Jethmal and his nominees have held been conspicuous by their absence at most of the sub-combines and over when they have been present mittee's meetings and even when they have been present theirs has been the role of an obstructor. In this way, they have attempted to bring about a stalemate—and while this ore legally appointed sub-committee has come to no decision on the point, the public is being unhappily more and more "enlightened" by or on account of Mr. Jethmal.

3. The charges against Dr. Daudpota perhaps have Mr Jethmal's blessings "to be fruitful and multiply," for in the beginning he was accused of only tampering with the

purity of the Sindhi language and of undermining Hindu culture. This in itself was not a negligible matter, but it has grown out of all proportions. The following are the main charges alleged in Mr. Jethmal's letter treferred to above) with the correct viewpoint :-

(i) It is alleged that Dr. Daudpota tore up certain instructions—a strange and serious charge, especially when made against a person of responsibility and position of Dr. Daudpota. This charge has been made nearly 12 months after an uproar occurred in the prees against the mistakes in the Departmental Readers: an uproar strengthened by the opinion of the redoubtable and learned Mr. Nagrani. Government unofficially asked the D.P.1. to look into the mat-(By Mr. Abdur Rehman Bar-at-Law)

1. For some time past, the local press has witnessed a across some mistakes, which for the safety of Sindbi litetimes have great results. The mistakes were of a purely orthographical nature—e.g. the word chatun (parrot) was printed as chatwun with the pesh over the waw and the word tain Arabic words, which have been bodily transplanted into Sindhi—as happens with all languages—were incorrectly moved, e.g. khayalu (thought) was spelt as khiyalu, adami as adimyi, etc. Naturally these were corrected but not a single Arabic word was substituted for a pure Sindhi word. Was this Arabicization or Daudpotization of the Sindhi language

Caudpota persuaded them to use new and better type. Ac-cordingly this was done, but as this type did not possess the elements or parts, of which the letters are comprised, the column containing the broken parts was left out. Nor was this the only reason for so doing; there was also an important psychological reason. Modern educational psychology teaches that children should always be taught to write whole letters and not their parts. Any person conversant with the Montessori and Kindergarten methods should have this much knowledge. It has thus felt that the column was unnecessary. Consequently, the intructions printed on the covers of the Primer, which related to this column, were ren-dered superfluous. Furthermore, these instructions are hardly used by teachers—when one realizes that the teachers who handle the infant classes are specially trained men, the entire redundance of these instructions becomes quite clear. Mr. K.L. Hingorani, for 35 years a member of the Education Department, fully concurred with Dr. Daudpota in this matter. Or is it suggested that Dr. Daudpota's critics are men more qualified than Mr. Hingorani? Was this the destruction of the Sindhi language? Was this the undermining of Hinda culture?

(ii) It has been alleged that the D.P1, has introduced Arabic diacritical marks. But this is not so. They have been there ever the Arabic script was adopted in 1833 for the writing of Sindhi. The so-called pact between Hindus and Muslims of 1879, as embodied in Shirt's Sindhi—English Dictionary, exultingly instanced by Mr. Jethmal in his articles contributed to the Hindu, bears witness to the correct use of these diacritical marks. The old Departmental Readers, which were compiled by notable Hindu scholars like Diwan Nandiram and Diwan Udharam, followed the like Diwan Nandiram and Diwan Odnaram, followed the Arabic system of airabs, and in fact they could not have done otherwise as the whole of thography is Arabic. It was in 1905, when the D.P.I. Poona, Mr. Covernton, formed a Vernacular Text Books Revision Committee for the compilation of the present series of the Departmental Readers in Sindhi, Gujrati and Marathi, that some departure was made from the established rules of orthography in the case of the Sindhi Readers. Diwan Premehand, the then Principal of the Training College for Men. Hydersbad, whose knowledge of Sindhi needs no comment here, was selected to represent Sind on the above Committee, and he, of his own accord, without consulting anyone, altered the positions of the pesh and zer before the vowels waw and ye respectively. This aberration brought about by one Hindu gentleman, was perpetuated in all the future editions of the Readers, which were published in Bombay and Poona, without anyone caring to look to their accuracy. Why is it that no one of the lik of Mr. Jethmal then rose to protest against such flagrant changes? Or is love of the Sindhi language the peculiar province of Mr. Jethmal? Other reasons could be suggested to explain Mr. Jethmal's sudden zeal, but delicacy formeds their mention.

(iii) Again it is urged that fantastic blocks have been introduced. This again is not so. Only a few blocks have been replaced by commonplace ones and a few others newly introduced, so that children by looking at the illustration-could know by themselves the sound-value of the letter-they represent. For instance, the letter ye was represented by raqut (diamond), which could not be illustrated by a picture; hence the word yako (the ace of cards) was substituted. Simlarly the sound bay was represented by a four-lettered Turkish word bayraqa, which no urban or rural child can know, because they are familiar with either jhando or jhand, but not bayraqa. Hence the simple word bayra Eng. but) was substituted, as it is a common object. In these changes, too, Dr. Daudpota was guided by Mr. Hingorani and Mr. Bherumal, the latter having had a direct hand in the preparation of the Readers in 1905. One cannot understand how these slight changes strike at the root of Hindulture and how Dr. Daudpota has sought to propagate "his narrow ideas through fantastic pictures."

- (iv) To the fourth charge no reply is needed, as it is absolutely fictitious—the charge that pages after pages have been torn out from or introduced into the Readers. Those that run may read.
- 4. Let it be borne in mind that D.P.I. is not bound to consult the Text Book Committee for such slight changes, which were necessitated by the Hindu agitation in the press. A new edition had to be published within shortest possible period, as the first edition had been exhausted, and as there was no time to call a meeting of the Text Book Committee. Here also it may be noted that Mr. Jethmal has resiled from his original position, because in the articles that he and his friends have contributed to the "Sansar Samachar" they have all along mentioned the Vernacular Text Books Revision Committee of 1905, which ceased to exist since then, and not the Text Book Committee, which is nominated by the D.P.I. every three years. It would hardly be an exaggeration to suggest that even if this Committee had recommended these changes, Mr. Jethmal's attitude would have been much the same, because the love of the language which he possesses and which apparently necessitates an anti-Daudpota attitude, would have been just as strong. Let us also remember that the Text Book Committee is a purely advisory committee and has nothing to do with the preparation or revision of the Departmental Readers and that there are standing orders of the Government that and that there are standing orders of the Government that the D.P.I. can make verbal changes without consulting it. In the past, such changes bave often been made by the Principal of the Training College for Men, who is generally responsible for preparing the press copies, without reference either to the D.P.I. or the Text Book Committee. What a comparison is suggested to the mind! When an individual

- like Mr. Premehand made such revolutionary changes, not a dittle finger was raised, but now while Dr. Daudpota whose name is well-known amongst the Orientalists and who has recently been swarded the distinction of Shams-ul-Ulema by the flovernment of India in recognition of his contributions to Arabic, Persian and Sindhi, introduces a few wholesome cariations, there is such a hue and cry! Reluctantly we are led to the conclusion that Mr. Jethinal is actuated only by personal feelings, which he has borne since the publication by Professor Gurbuxani of the first volume of the Shah-jo-Risalo,
- 5. Mr Jethmal in his appeal to the Hindu community, 9. Mr Jethmal in his appeal to the rimou community, published in the "Sind Observer" of the 15th, instant, says: "Dr. Daudpota is already known to have done his best to destroy the very foundation of the Sindhi language, Sindhi literature and Sindhi culture and has propagated his fantastic theories in the Cindhi Tart Rocks studied in the Cindhi Cart Rocks studied in the Cart tic theories in the Sindhi Text Rooks studied in the colleges, as opposed to the unity teaching by the great poets of Sind. He definitely has written Anyone who is in the Muslim relifre definitely has written anyone who is in the Muslim religion, however uncivilized and ignorant he may be, must lave character etc." How far this is from the truth, let the reader judge for himself. So far as we know Dr. Dandthe reader judge for himsell. So lar, as we know Dr. Dand-pota has edited only two Sindhi works, viz. Shah Karim's couplets along with his principal teble-talks and the Abyat-i Sindhi of Khawaja Muhammad Zaman of Luwari with the resident of Khawaja munanunau zaman of Luwari with the commentary of Shaikh Abdur Rahim Girori. In the introduction to Shah Karim's poetical works while discussing his literary attainments, Dr. Daudpota says, "Although Shah Karim had received no regular education, he had learnt a good deal by associating with the great spiritual leaders of the same and t good dear by associating with the great spiritual leaders of his time, such as Makhdun Nuh and others, and in spite of his comparative illiteracy he used to expound the spiritual mysteries in such a way that even learned scho-lars would be aghast with wonder." In connection with he says that even today one can meet in the country many ignorant Muslims, who on account of their frequent intercourse with learned men and spiritual guides can dilate upon occult experiences in such a way that one would imagine them to very learned, and that it is through the blessings of Islam that both character and politeness are found among them in abundance. The impartial reader will discover, after a reference to the context, that the statement of Mr. Jeth-mal is diameterically opposite to what Dr. Daudpota has said and is simply designed to excite the Hindu public against him. We should like to ask any sensible man to read this paragraph in Shah Karim's Kalam and say with God overhead whether Dr. Daudpota by expression of his views has struck at the root of Sindhi literature and culture. He has not decried any religion, but has simply stated a fact which can be verified by personal observation. Let any one read the lines, which Mr. Jethmal has deliberately mistranslated, and find out for himself whether they bear the sinister construction, which Mr. Jethmal with all his mystic and theosophic lore has deigned to impart to them.
- 6. The Abyat-i-Sindhi was published last yetar: Mr. Jethmal's scholarship perhaps was not of the type which would appreciate it. Suffice it to say that he wrote and had got witten by others several diatribes against it. As a matter of fact there was nothing offensive in it. The familiar Biblicul saying about the beam in one's own eye and the mote in another's hardly needs repetition. The crime of which Dr. Daudpota was undoubtedly guilty was that he therein laid down the definition of a true Sufi and also the principle that a Muslim mystic can be correctly interpreted by those Muslim scholars who have made a close study of Islamic mysticism. Evidently this gave umbrage to Mr. Jethmal.

Scanned with CamScanne